UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI NORTHERN DIVISION

SALEEM NELSON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
V.)	No. 2:19CV19 HEA
)	
ANNE L. PRECYTHE, et. al)	
)	
Defendants.)	

OPINION, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon the motion of Plaintiff for the appointment of counsel. (Doc. #10). The motion will be denied without prejudice.

The appointment of counsel for an indigent *pro se* plaintiff lies within the discretion of the Court, as there is no constitutional or statutory right to appointed counsel in civil cases. *Ward v. Smith*, 732 F.3d 940, 942 (8th Cir. 2013); *see* 28 U.S.C. ' 1915(e) ("when an indigent prisoner has pleaded a nonfrivolous cause of action, a court may appoint counsel.") (emphasis added).

Once the plaintiff alleges a *prima facie* claim, the Court must determine the plaintiff's need for counsel to litigate the claim effectively. *In re Lane*, 801 F.2d 1040, 1043 (8th Cir. 1986). The standard for appointment of counsel in a civil case is whether both the plaintiff and the Court would benefit from the assistance of counsel. *Edgington v. Missouri Dept. of Corrections*, 52 F.3d 777, 780 (8th Cir. 1995), *abrogated on other grounds*, *Doe v. Cassel*, 403 F.3d 986, 989 (8th Cir. 2005) (citations omitted). This determination involves the consideration of several relevant criteria which include "the factual complexity of the issues, the ability of the indigent person to investigate the facts, the existence of conflicting testimony, the ability of the indigent person to present the

claims, and the complexity of the legal arguments." *Phillips v. Jasper County Jail*, 437 F.3d 791, 94 (*citing Edgington*, 52 F.3d at 780).

In some instances, a court may deny a motion for appointment of counsel without prejudice

because it believes the record is insufficient to determine, one way or the other, whether it would

be appropriate to appoint counsel when the above factors are considered. See Id. For example,

discovery may not have begun or may have just begun at the time of the request for appointment

of counsel, so there is no conflicting testimony. There may be no indication in the record that the

plaintiff lacks the ability to investigate or present his case where she correctly identifies the

applicable legal standard governing her claims and her complaint contains all essential

information. Finally, the Court may consider whether the plaintiff's claims involve information

that is readily available to her. *Phillips*, 437 F.3d at 794.

In this case, the record does not support the appointment of counsel at this time. The claims

plaintiff has presented do not appear factually or legally complex at this time Finally, plaintiff has

demonstrated ability to clearly present and investigate his claims. He has filed a complaint that is

articulate and readily understood, indicating that he is capable of clear expression and logical

organization of content. However, the Court recognizes that the relevant circumstances may

change. The Court will therefore deny the motion for the appointment of counsel, without

prejudice. If appropriate at a later stage of this litigation, plaintiff may file a motion to appoint

counsel that addresses the foregoing factors.

Dated this 29th day of October, 2019

HENRY EDWARD AUTREY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE